

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,458	CAMPAGNOLO, VALENTINO	
	Examiner Marcus Charles	Art Unit 3682	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marcus Charles. (3) _____

(2) Stephen B. Schott. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 November 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: None.

Claim(s) discussed: 17,18 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Campagnolo (5,480,356).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: it was agreed that the amendment to claim 17 did not overcome the rejection as being unpatentable by Campagnolo, and figure 2 must be labeled --Prior Art--. Therefore, it was agreed to cancel claim 17, 18 and 20 as set forth in the examiner's amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required